

# **SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**

**Form 51 – 102F1**

## **Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

*The following Management’s Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”), prepared as at December 20, 2013 should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of Superior Mining International Corporation (the “Company” or “Superior”) for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and the related notes thereto, which have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). This MD&A contains “forward-looking statements” that are subject to risk factors set out in a cautionary note contained herein. All figures are stated in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.*

Additional information related to the Company can be found on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and on the Company’s website at [superiormining.com](http://superiormining.com).

### **Company Overview**

The Company is a Canadian company originally incorporated in the Yukon Territory, but now continued and registered as incorporated in British Columbia. It is listed on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol SUI. The Company is engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties in Australia and South Africa. To date, the Company has not earned significant revenues and is considered to be in the exploration stage.

The Company is in the process of exploring its mineral properties and has not yet determined whether the properties contain reserves that are economically recoverable or not. The recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral properties and related deferred exploration costs are dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete the development of those reserves and upon future profitable production.

### **Outlook**

The Company is continuing to explore its existing properties, with a focus on its 87% owned Mangalisa gold reef property that is adjacent to Harmony Gold’s Masimong #5 Mine in the Free State goldfield of South Africa. At Mangalisa, two drilling programs have intersected a series of quartz conglomerate reefs with highly anomalous gold and uranium grades. The Company has been in discussion with several parties on a potential joint venture agreement to fund the phase 3 and subsequent follow-up phase 4 drill programs.

The Company continues to search for opportunities to acquire additional properties. In May 2012, the Company executed a Farm-In Agreement with a private Australian company to earn in a 51% interest in the Redcastle Project, located some 200 km northeast of Kalgoorlie, Western Australia. One shallow drilling program has been completed on the property and a Phase 2 drilling program is pending. The property has potential for gold and nickel. The terms of the agreement require the Company to spend AUD\$200,000 over a 24-month period.

### **Exploration and Evaluation Assets**

#### **South Africa**

##### ***Mangalisa***

The mines of the Free State goldfield (the first of which was established in 1949) have collectively produced at least 320 million ounces of gold as well as a substantial amount of byproduct uranium. The gold and uranium ore has been recovered principally from the Basal Reef (a reef generally less than 1 metre thick), which is widespread in its distribution across the goldfield. A number of other reefs located above the Basal Reef, with more local or confined distribution, have also been mined in places. The other reefs include the Leader, “B”, “A”, and Beatrix reefs. The Basal, “B” and “A” reefs are all reported to be mined on the Masimong 5 mine immediately south of Superior’s property.

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

Superior's 198 km<sup>2</sup> Mangalisa license area is situated approximately 20 km to the east of the township of Welkom, and less than 10 km north of Harmony Gold's operating Masimong #5 (formerly Erfdeel) gold mine. The contiguous, highly prospective ground was chosen for its potential to host eastward extensions of the western limb of the Free State Goldfield in the Welkom District. The lease dimensions contain approximately 18 kilometres of strike potential and approximately 10 kilometres of down-dip potential.

The Company's objective has been to evaluate its property area using diamond drilling to determine whether one or more gold-enriched reefs occur, and thereafter to determine whether an economic deposit can be established. The Company's exploration plans in conjunction with Minco Mineral Holdings (Pty) Limited ("Minco") called for approximately eight phase 2 diamond drill holes of up to 1,000 m deep targeting the Erfenis Reef in the immediate area of the PG-1 discovery hole at Mangalisa.

On April 8, 2010, the Company executed an agreement with Minco pursuant to which Minco had the option to acquire 90% of the Company's directly controlled shares in Turquoise Moon Trading 403 Pty. Ltd ("TMT") by spending USD\$10,000,000 on the development of TMT's Mangalisa project, of which USD\$5,000,000 had to be spent by April 2011. The Company holds a 74% direct interest in TMT and a further 13% indirect interest. If Minco had expended the full USD\$10,000,000 the Company's direct and indirect interest in TMT would have been reduced to 20.4% (7.4% directly and 13% indirectly).

By the April 2011 milestone date, Minco had failed to meet the minimum exploration expenditure requirement and they forfeited their right to acquire an interest in the Mangalisa property.

During the year ending July 31, 2011, six phase 2 holes had been completed to the level of the Erfenis Reef horizon. The holes were drilled to a depth of approximately 1,000 m each, with four holes intersecting the Erfenis Reef Zone, including the discovery hole PG.1.

Significant intersections are as follows:

ERF.7	874.10 - 84.39 (0.29 m) @ 36.6 g/t Au & 0.24 kg/t U
PG.1/12	776.32 - 776.77 (0.45 m) @ 45.8 g/t Au & 3.79 kg/t U
(including)	776.56 - 776.77 (0.21 m) @ 91.8 g/t Au & 7.24 kg/t U
PG.1/13	776.13 - 776.45 (0.32 m) @ 51.1 g/t Au & 4.27 kg/t U
N.B.PG.1	is the Glencore discovery drill hole where the Company re-entered and completed several deflections from this drillhole.

The Company has now received and reviewed the geological technical report, based on the 43-101 format, which has incorporated all historic and current drilling with recommendations for the next phase of drilling.

The Company has been active in pursuing joint venture partners to invest in the Phase 3 and 4 drill programs to advance the project to an Inferred Resource stage.

During the year ended July 31, 2013, the Company executed an Earn-in Agreement dated December 13, 2012 with Ibhusei Capital ("Ibhusei"), a private family investment Company with interests in mineral resources, energy and real estate, based in Johannesburg, South Africa pursuant to which Ibhusei can acquire up to a 67% interest in the Company's Mangalisa project by paying Superior two cash payments totaling US\$2.5M and spending US\$5M on exploration within a 24-month period. During the period ended October 31, 2013, the agreement was terminated

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

**New Zealand**

***Victory North***

The Company was issued an Exploration Permit (52251) on ground immediately adjacent to that of the former Victory Gold property on which the Company had previously reported near surface gold intercepts from reverse circulation (RC) drilling. The property (referred to as “Victory North”) comprises an elongate NE-SW block of 249.7 ha. with topographical linear highs of magnetite-gold bearing Pleistocene beach strandlines known as “blacksand leads”.

During the year ended July 31, 2012, the Company decided not to pursue further exploration on the property resulting in a write-off of \$114,596.

***Ross South***

The Company was also issued an Exploration Permit (EP 51688) on ground immediately south of the former Ross property, from which the Company has withdrawn. The property (referred to as "Ross South"), located 2.0 km to the south-east of the Victory Gold property, consists of Pliocene-aged alluvial gold leads peripheral to current or historical mining operations.

During the year ended July 31, 2012, the Company decided not to pursue further exploration resulting in a write-off of \$155,232.

During the year ended July 31, 2013, the Company sold the property for AUD \$10,000 (received) plus a retained royalty interest.

The Company retains a sliding scale net smelter return (“NSR”) royalty of 7% for year one, 3% for year two and 1% year three on commercial production. After the third anniversary, the NSR royalty becomes Nil.

***Collingwood***

Exploration Permit 52218 covering 16,750 hectares was granted in December 2010. The permit area covers numerous historical and alluvial gold workings in the Collingwood area, including the Johnston United underground mine, which produced around 20,000 oz at approximately 10 g/t.

During April, 2011, Superior consultants visited the property and completed an initial reconnaissance over the Aorere Gold workings. The Company compiled historic data for focusing on prime target areas within the permit. In August 2011, the Company visited the permit and began to negotiate land access with the key property owners. Analytical work was completed to reduce the size of the permit by dropping off non-prospective ground to focus on the areas of interest.

The Company again visited the property in November, 2011. Access was granted to the northern area and several stream sediment samples were panned and returned no gold. Attention was turned to the southern end of the property, where several discussions were held with the owners regarding land access.

After no guarantees on gaining access, coupled with the poor results from the northern part of the property, the Company’s Board of Directors decided to withdraw from the property due to the limited potential and difficulty with land owners and regulators for land access and development of the project. As a result, the Company decided not to pursue further exploration on the property, resulting in a write-off of \$223,825 during the year ended July 31, 2012.

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management's Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

**Australia**

***Redcastle***

On May 4, 2012 the Company executed a Farm-In/Joint Venture Heads of Agreement with a private Australian Company called Reinhold Resources Limited on their Redcastle property, located some 200 km northeast of Kalgoorlie, Western Australia. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company is required to spend AUD\$200,000 over a 24-month period to earn a 51% interest in the property.

The property comprises 13 granted prospecting licences that cover 24 km<sup>2</sup> area over the prospective greenstone belt in the Eastern goldfields of Western Australia.

The Redcastle Project lies within the Murrin-Margaret area, which is a terrane of low strain greenstones and granitoids bounded by the Keith-Kilkenny lineament to the west and the Laverton Tectonic Zone to the east. There are three main lithological entities within the mapped portion of the project area:

- a greenstone sequence of tholeiite basalts with minor interflow sediments is intruded by gabbro and dolerite sills. The dolerite sills have pyroxenitic or gabbroic bases and quartz rich or more feldspar-rich tops;
- the greenstones have been intruded by weakly porphyritic tonalite and dacite porphyry. The late stage dacite porphyry is confined to the periphery of the tonalite bodies which it intrudes, and the greenstones close to the tonalite contact. Regional metamorphism is lower greenschist facies; and
- tonalite and dacite have been intruded early in the structural evolution of the greenstone belt. They are foliated and folded with the greenstone sequence. Early isoclinal folds have been refolded into broad north-trending open folds with an amplitude of about four kilometres.

Gold mineralization is hosted in quartz veined faults and shears, where they transect favourable mafic lithologies, in particular dolerite and more specifically quartz dolerite. The intersections of early structures by later cross cutting faults, where mafic rocks are present, are important sites for gold deposition, as are quartz stockworks in tonalite.

Considerable gold remobilization has occurred with depletion of gold from the laterite surface to a depth of approximately 8 to 15 m. Supergene enrichment has occurred near the base of the pallid zone, resulting in development of nuggets (as seen from the numerous "patches") and rich supergene gold as previously mined. Much of the early mining selectively targeted material containing these supergene enriched quartz veins, which were mined from between approximately 10 to 20 m below the Tertiary laterite surface; or down to 11 m below the present surface.

Geological mapping has been completed with updated mapping data provided in February 2013. See the Superior Mining web site ([www.superiormining.com](http://www.superiormining.com)) maps page. Subsequent to the initial geological mapping, a soil geochemistry sampling program was completed in December 2012. A total of 319 soil samples were collected on a 200 m by 200 m grid pattern over most of the project area (24 km<sup>2</sup>). The last 2 km<sup>2</sup> to the northern boundary were not sampled because of a layer of transported overburden masking the area. This area was tested later with shallow phase 1 drilling.

The soil geochemistry sampling has indicated the background threshold is 2 ppb. There are several anomalous results above this threshold:

- The most significant result received is 102 ppb gold supported by 11 ppb some 280 m to southeast. These two values straddle a NW-trending porphyry dyke up to 100 m wide and 500 m long. Porphyries in the area are well known to contain gold mineralization.

# SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Form 51 – 102F1

## Management's Discussion and Analysis

For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013

---

- There are two lower order gold anomalies. The first is an 11 ppb gold anomaly located in the southeastern corner of the tenement (claim) block. This is supported by a 5 ppb gold anomaly 200 m to the east. The second is a 13 ppb gold anomaly that lies near a SSW-trending quart vein that perhaps trends towards an abandoned shaft some 600m to the SSW.
- Other weak anomalies, ranging from 5 to 8 ppb, are scattered throughout the southern half of the tenement block. Many are associated with metal detector scrapings for alluvial gold.

A follow-up soil geochemistry sampling was carried out on a 25 m by 25 m grid pattern over the 110 ppb gold anomaly. Additional soil geochemistry was completed on a 50 m by 50 m grid pattern over the lower order anomalies. These follow-up sampling programs were undertaken concurrently with the first drilling program on the section of the property to the north that is overlain with a layer of transported overburden masking the area.

In total, 119 infill soil samples were collected around the gold anomalies highlighted in the December 2012 soil geochemistry program. The infill sampling confirmed the 102 ppb gold anomaly over porphyry from the December 2012 soil sampling program with sample RP399 assaying 208 ppb.

The drilling program was designed to drill through the transported overburden to intersect the bedrock over the most northern 2 km from the property boundary. Granite was intersected under most of the area whereas basalt was intersected over the western portion. A 3 kg sample was collected every 4 m from the transported overburden and then a 3 kg sample was collected one metre into the rock interface. Anomalous gold values were intersected on the western portion. Most significant values returned in are:

Hole No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Sample No.	From (m)	To (m)	Au (ppb)	Au-Rp1 (ppb)
RPR011	391,200	6,802,000	RP539	4	7	19	21
RPR012	391,600	6,802,000	RP541	4	5	26	28
RPR013	392,000	6,802,000	RP543	4	8	10	
RPR042	391,200	6,801,600	RP717	0	4	10	
RPR042			RP718	4	5	56	60
RPR042			RP719	5	6	53	45
RPR042			RP720	6	9	13	

Gold values assayed in parts per billion (ppb)

A full listing of soil sampling results and drilling results are available on the Company web site ([www.superiormining.com](http://www.superiormining.com)).

A deeper phase 2 drilling program is pending, with approval for drilling already obtained from the Australia Department of Mines & Petroleum.

The dominant lithology in the greenstone sequence is tholeiitic basalt and associated mafic intrusives. Quartz veins range up to about 1m thick and are the primary source of the gold.

The lateritic weathering profile is variably exposed. Small areas of lateritic residuum are probably present. Mottled zone, ferruginous saprolite, saprolite and saprock are more widespread. Transported overburden covers much of the northern half of the Redcastle tenements.

Recent soil cover and colluvium obscure much of the Archaean sequence in the southern half of the Tenements. Broad alluvial channels are common in the north.

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

**Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013:**

During the three months ended October 31, 2013, the Company had a comprehensive loss of \$61,947 (2012 - \$85,537). Significant fluctuations occurred in the following categories:

- a) Accretion of convertible debentures and accrued interest of \$7,624 (2012 - \$Nil) increased as the Company accreted up the liability portion of convertible debentures to its face value over the term to maturity.
- b) Share-based payments of \$13,550 (2012 - \$33,818) decreased as the Company recorded a lower fair value of stock options vested during the current period.
- c) Professional fees of \$18,377 (2012 - \$31,885) decreased as a result of lower accounting and legal fees during the current period.

**Selected Annual Information**

The following table provides a brief summary of the Company’s financial operations. For more detailed information, refer to the financial statements and the overall performance section.

	2013	2012	2011
Net and comprehensive loss for the year	\$ (376,339)	\$ (1,470,865)	\$ (1,660,971)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Total assets	1,659,566	1,330,805	1,559,931

**Summary of Quarterly Results**

	October 31, 2013	July 31, 2013	April 30, 2013	January 31, 2013
Total assets	\$ 1,665,463	\$ 1,659,566	\$ 1,621,511	\$ 1,499,233
Exploration and evaluation assets	1,627,374	1,589,312	1,538,095	1,406,154
Working deficit	(714,661)	(849,882)	(709,836)	(532,683)
Equity	691,033	739,430	828,259	857,695
Loss and comprehensive loss	(61,947)	(121,078)	(52,532)	(117,192)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)

	October 31, 2012	July 31, 2012	April 30, 2012	January 31, 2012
Total assets	\$ 1,361,063	\$ 1,330,805	\$ 1,676,667	\$ 1,707,696
Exploration and evaluation assets	1,338,550	1,283,408	1,533,121	1,485,995
Working deficit	(370,865)	(264,004)	(158,311)	(9,070)
Equity	967,685	1,019,404	1,374,810	1,480,615
Loss and comprehensive loss	(85,537)	(371,126)	(225,980)	(304,960)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company’s cash position as at October 31, 2013 was \$26,702, a decrease of \$29,370 from July 31, 2013. As at October 31, 2013, the Company had a working capital deficiency of \$714,661 (July 31, 2013 - \$849,882).

Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended October 31, 2013 was \$33,607 compared to \$20,366 during 2012. The cash utilized by operating activities for the period consists primarily of the operating expenses and changes in non-cash working capital.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended October 31, 2013 was \$3,129 compared to \$Nil during 2012. The difference was primarily due to a recovery in exploration and evaluation expenditures.

**Related Party Transactions**

Amounts paid or accrued to related parties are as follows:

		For the Period Ended October 31, 2013	For the Period Ended October 31, 2012
<b>Key Management:</b>			
A director of a subsidiary of the Company	Consulting	\$ 830	\$ 1,762
Directors and officers	Share-based payments <sup>(i)</sup>	<u>10,057</u>	<u>28,335</u>
		<u>\$ 10,887</u>	<u>\$ 30,097</u>
<b>Related Parties:</b>			
A firm in which the CFO is a partner	Professional fees	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,000
A company owned by the CEO	Exploration expenditures	<u>36,000</u>	<u>36,000</u>
		<u>\$ 56,000</u>	<u>\$ 61,000</u>

(i) Share-based payment is the fair value of options granted and vested to key management personnel.

The amounts due to the related parties included in accounts payables and accrued liabilities are as follows:

	October 31, 2013	July 31, 2012
Due to a firm in which the CFO is a partner	\$ 194,291	\$ 174,291
Due to a company owned by a former director	11,000	11,000
Due to a director of a subsidiary of the Company	31,863	31,710
Due to a company owned by the CEO	<u>251,632</u>	<u>213,039</u>
	<u>\$ 488,786</u>	<u>\$ 430,040</u>

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

**Capital Management**

The Company’s objective when managing capital is to safeguard the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.

In the management of capital, the Company monitors its adjusted capital which comprises all components of equity (i.e. capital stock, reserves, equity portion of convertible debentures and deficit).

The Company sets the amount of capital in proportion to risk. The Company manages the capital structure and makes adjustments to it in the light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying assets. In order to maintain or adjust the capital structure, the Company may issue common shares through private placements. The Company is not exposed to any externally imposed capital requirements. The Company’s policy remains unchanged from the prior year.

**Financial Instruments**

The Company’s financial instruments consist of cash, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Unless otherwise noted, it is management’s opinion that the Company is not exposed to significant interest or credit risks arising from these financial instruments. The fair value of these financial instruments approximates their carrying value, unless otherwise noted.

The Company estimates the fair value of its financial instruments based on current interest rates, market value and pricing of financial instruments with comparable terms. Unless otherwise indicated, the carrying value of these financial instruments approximates their fair market value because of the near maturity of those instruments.

The Company’s risk exposures and the impact on the Company’s financial instruments are summarized in Note 11 of the Company’s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

**Risks and Uncertainties**

The Company may be exposed to risks of varying degrees of significance which could affect its ability to achieve its strategic objectives. The Company manages risks to minimize potential losses. The main objective of the Company’s risk management process is to ensure that the risks are properly identified and that the capital base is adequate in relation to those risks. A summary of financial risk factors related to the Company’s business are provided in Note 11 of the Company’s unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. The additional risks to which the Company is exposed are described below.

The Company’s operations and results are subject to a number of different risks at any given time. These factors, include, but are not limited to, disclosure regarding exploration, additional financing, project delay, price fluctuations and share price volatility, operating hazards, insurable risks and limitations of insurance, management, foreign country and regulatory requirements, currency fluctuations and environmental regulations risks.

The key determinants as to the Company’s operational outcomes are as follows:

- a) the state of capital markets, which will affect the ability of the Company to finance further mineral property acquisitions and expand its contemplated exploration programs;
- b) the prevailing market prices for base metals and precious metals;
- c) the consolidation and potential abandonment of the Company’s properties as exploration results provide further information relating to the underlying value of the properties; and
- d) the ability of the Company to identify and successfully acquire additional properties in which the Company may acquire an interest whether by option, joint venture or otherwise, in addition to or as an alternative to the property.



# **SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**

**Form 51 – 102F1**

## **Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

*Exploration and Mining Risks:* Exploration for mineral resources involves a high degree of risk. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. The cost of conducting programs may be substantial and the likelihood of success is difficult to assess. The Company has limited financial resources and, as pointed out above, has no current source of recurring income with which to cushion financial setbacks. In future there is no assurance that the Company will produce revenue, operate profitably or provide a return on investment. The Company seeks to counter this risk as far as possible by selecting exploration areas on the basis of their recognized geological potential to host economic deposits. The focus of the Company is on areas in which the geological setting is well understood by management.

*Metal Price Risk:* Metal prices have historically fluctuated widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company’s control, including international, economic and political trends, expectations for inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates, global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and worldwide production levels. The price of gold is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company and can be extremely volatile. The price of this metal greatly affects the value of the Company.

*Financial Markets:* The Company is dependent on the equity markets as its sole source of operating working capital and the Company’s capital resources are largely determined by the strength of the junior resource markets and by the status of the Company’s projects in relation to these markets, and its ability to compete for the investor support of its projects.

*Permits and Licenses:* The Company’s operations may require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration, development and mining operations at its projects.

*Industry:* The Company is engaged in the acquisition and exploration of resource properties, an inherently risky business, and there is no assurance that an economic mineral deposit will ever be discovered and subsequently put into production. Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits.

*Capital Needs:* The exploration of the Company’s current and future properties will require additional financing. The only current source of future funds available to the Company is the sale of additional equity capital. There is no assurance that such funding will be available to the Company or that it will be obtained on terms favorable to the Company or will provide the Company with sufficient funds to meet its objectives, which may adversely affect the Company’s business and financial position. Failure to obtain sufficient financing may result in delaying or indefinite postponement of exploration activities on the Company’s properties or even a loss of a property interest.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Reference should be made to the Company’s significant accounting policies contained in Note 3 of the Company’s unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements. These accounting policies can have a significant impact of the financial performance and financial position of the Company.

### **Going Concern**

The financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS on a going concern basis, which presume the realization of assets and discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. In assessing whether the going concern assumption is appropriate, management takes into account all available information about the future, which is at least, but not limited to, twelve months from the end of the reporting period. Management is aware, in making its assessment, of material uncertainties related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt upon the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern.

# **SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**

**Form 51 – 102F1**

## **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

For the three months ended October 31, 2013, the Company incurred a loss of \$61,947 (2012 - \$85,537), had a working capital deficiency of \$714,661 (July 31, 2013 - \$849,882) and a deficit of \$18,734,833 (July 31, 2013 - \$18,674,070). The Company does not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to adequately fund its future exploration activities and has relied principally upon issuance of securities and loans from related parties to fund its exploration and administrative expenditures. These conditions raise substantial doubt regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company will require additional capital to fund its future property acquisitions and exploration programs as well as for administrative purposes. If the management is unable to obtain new funding, the Company may be unable to continue its operations, and amounts realized for assets may be less than amounts reflected in these financial statements.

The financial statements do not include adjustments to amounts and classifications of assets and liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue operations.

### **Change in Accounting Policies**

#### New and amended standards adopted by the Company during the current period

The following new and amended standards adopted by the Company did not result in a significant impact on the Company's financial statements:

- Amendments to IFRS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosures, to require information about all recognized financial instruments that are set off in accordance with paragraph 42 of IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation.
- New standard IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements, replaces the guidance on control and consolidation in IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial statements, and SIC-12, Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities. IFRS 10 changes the definition of control under IFRS so that the same criteria are applied to all entities to determine control.
- New standard IFRS 11, Joint arrangements, requires a venture to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting whereas for joint operation, the venture will recognize its share of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionally consolidate or equity account for interest in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31 – Interest in Joint Ventures and SIC 13 – Jointly Controlled Entities – Non-monetary Contributions by Venturers.
- New standard IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities. This new standard provides the disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including subsidiaries, joint arrangements, associates and consolidated structured entities.
- New standard IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement. This standard defines fair value and sets out in a single IFRS a framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. The standard does not determine when an asset, a liability or an entity's own equity instrument is measured at fair value. Rather, the measurement and disclosure requirements of IFRS 13 apply when another IFRS requires or permits the item to be measured at fair value (with limited exceptions).
- Reissued IAS 27, Separate Financial Statements, requires that when an entity prepares separate financial statements, investments in subsidiaries, associates, and jointly controlled entities are accounted for either at cost, or in accordance with IFRS 9 Financial Instruments.

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

- Reissued IAS 28, Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures, supersedes IAS 28 Investments in Associates and defines 'significant influence' and provides guidance on how the equity method of accounting is to be applied (including exemptions from applying the equity method in some cases). It also prescribes how investments in associates and joint ventures should be tested for impairment.

New or revised standards and amendments to existing standards not yet effective

The Company has not applied the following new or revised standards and amendments that have been issued but are not yet effective at October 31, 2013:

- Amendments to IAS 32, *Financial Instruments: Presentation*, to provide clarification on the application of offsetting rules. This standard is effective for years beginning on or after January 1, 2014.
- Amendments to IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets* ("IFRS 36"), clarify the recoverable amount disclosures for non-financial assets, including additional disclosures about the measurement of the recoverable amount of impaired assets when the recoverable amount was based on fair value less costs of disposal. The amendments apply retrospectively for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014. Earlier application is permitted except an entity shall not apply those amendments in periods (including comparative periods) in which it does not also apply IFRS 13.
- New standard IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments*, which covers the classification and measurement of financial assets as part of its project to replace IAS 39, “Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement.” In October 2010, the requirements for classifying and measuring financial liabilities were added to IFRS 9. Under this guidance, entities have the option to recognize financial liabilities at fair value through earnings. If this option is elected, entities would be required to reverse the portion of the fair value change due to own credit risk out of earnings and recognize the change in other comprehensive income. This standard is effective for accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015

The Company is currently assessing the impact that these standards will have on the Company’s financial statements. The Company plans to adopt these standards as soon as they become effective for the Company’s reporting period.

**Outstanding Share Data**

As at the date of this MD&A, the Company has 57,566,479 common shares issued and outstanding and has the following options and warrants outstanding:

	Number	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
<b>Options</b>	100,000	\$ 0.05	May 28, 2014
	3,180,000	0.12	September 29, 2016
	4,500,000	0.10	May 22, 2018
	<u>7,780,000</u>		
<b>Warrants</b>	2,000,000	\$ 0.10	November 28, 2015

**Changes in Directors and Management**

On August 30, 2013, the Company announces that Harry Atkinson resigned from the Board of Directors.

**SUPERIOR MINING INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION**  
**Form 51 – 102F1**  
**Management’s Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Three Months Ended October 31, 2013**

---

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company currently has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

**Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain of the statements made and information contained herein is “forward-looking information” within the meaning of the British Columbia Securities Act. These statements relate to future events or the Company’s future performance. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, may be forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are often, but not always, identified by the use of words such as “seek”, “anticipate”, “plan”, “continue”, “estimate”, “expect”, “may”, “will”, “project”, “predict”, “propose”, “possible”, “potential”, “targeting”, “intend”, “could”, “might”, “should”, “believe” and similar expressions. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements. The Company believes that the expectations reflected in those forward-looking statements are reasonable but no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct and such forward-looking statements included in this MD&A should not be unduly relied upon by investors as actual results may vary. These statements speak only as of the date of this MD&A and are expressly qualified, in their entirety, by this cautionary statement. In particular, this MD&A contains forward-looking statements pertaining to the following: capital expenditure programs, development of resources, treatment under governmental and taxation regimes, expectations regarding the Company’s ability to raise capital, expenditures to be made by the Company on its properties and work plans to be conducted by the Company. With respect to forward-looking statements listed above and contained in the MD&A, the Company has made assumptions regarding, among other things:

- uncertainties relating to receiving exploration permits;
- the impact of increasing competition;
- unpredictable changes to the market prices for minerals;
- exploration and developments costs for its properties;
- availability of additional financing and opportunities for acquisitions or joint-venture partners;
- anticipated results of exploration and development activities; and
- the Company’s ability to obtain additional financing on satisfactory terms.

The Company’s actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of the risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this MD&A and in the Company’s Management’s Proxy Circular which can be found on the SEDAR website ([www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)): volatility in the market price for minerals; uncertainties associated with estimating resources; geological, technical, drilling and processing problems; liabilities and risks, including environmental liabilities and risks, inherent in mineral exploration and operations; fluctuations in currencies and interest rates; incorrect assessments of the value of acquisitions; unanticipated results of exploration activities; competition for, amongst other things, capital, undeveloped lands and skilled personnel; lack of availability of additional financing and farm-in or joint venture partners and unpredictable weather conditions.

Investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as the plans, intentions or expectations upon which they are based might not occur. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing lists of factors are not exhaustive. The forward-looking statements contained in this MD&A are expressly qualified by this cautionary statement. The Company does not undertake to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.